



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,842	02/01/2001	Dirk-Holger Lenz	7058 US	1158
75	590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
Francis I. Gray			CRAIG, DWIN M	
TEKTRONIX, INC. P.O. Box 500, MS 50-LAW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Beaverton, OR	97077	2123 DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005		
				5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				М
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/775,842	LENZ ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dwin M Craig	2123	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SH THE - Extrafte - If th - If No - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 D	ecember 2004.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>4, 6 and 13-15</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applica	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· -· ·	•	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachmer	• •	∆ □ laterià c	w (PTO 412)	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail ⊡	Date	
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 have been presented for reconsideration in view of Applicant's arguments.

Response to Arguments

- The arguments presented in the response dated 12-16-2004 have been fully considered.

 The Examiner's response is as follows.
- 2.1 As regards the Applicant's response to the Examiner's objection to Claim 5.

 The Examiner thanks the Applicant for correction to dependent Claim 5 and withdraws the earlier objection.
- 2.2 Regarding the Applicant's response to the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections to Independent Claims 1 and 16 with respect to *Pearson U.S. Patent 5,903,754*.

Applicant argued,

Claims 1, 16 and 17 recite that the protocol layer has a standardized interface, rather than an interface specific for that protocol layer as in Pearson.

The Examiner respectfully traverses the Applicant's argument. The Examiner notes that in the *Pearson* reference *specifically* (Col. 4 lines 32-42) is disclosed,

Particularly, the invention recognizes that protocol layers can be defined such that each layer performs a specific protocol function and that the <u>interfaces</u> between these protocol layers are necessarily <u>consistent</u>. By implementing a <u>consistent</u> object model, the protocol layers are <u>interchangeable</u> and independently modifiable, and the protocol stack is dynamically modifiable.

The Examiner respectfully asserts that a *consistent* and *interchangeable interface* is functionally equivalent to a *standardized interface*. Further, it is noted by the Examiner that, in the Applicants specification on page 3 is disclosed...

Equipping protocol layers with <u>standardized interfaces</u> creates the possibility of <u>connecting protocol layers with each other</u> in a simple manner or connecting protocol layers with script interpreters or control components.

The Examiner notes that this enabling description from the Applicant's specification is almost identical to the description in the *Pearson* reference cited by the Examiner. The Examiner respectfully upholds the earlier 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection of **Claim 1** in regards to the *Pearson* reference.

In regards to independent Claim 16 Applicant further argued,

Also claim 16 further recites that the protocol stack is compiled randomly, rather than sequentially as in Person.

The Examiner has found Applicant's argument to be persuasive and withdraws the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection of independent **Claim 16**.

2.3 Regarding the Applicants response to the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of independent Claim 17 with respect to *Pearson* in view of *Autrey*.

Applicant argued,

Applicant submits that the PIG-tool of Autrey unpacks the OSI protocol layers and converts them to processor instructions, but such unpacking and converting does not teach each emulation layer having a local emulation manager to form an administrative instance.

The Examiner has found this argument to be persuasive and withdraws the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of independent Claim 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/775,842 Page 4

Art Unit: 2123

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Independent Claim 1 and dependent Claims 2, 3, 5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pearson U.S. Patent 5,903,754.
- 3.1 As regards independent Claims 1 the *Pearson* reference teaches, apparatus/method of creating a protocol stack (Figure 1 & 2, Col. 5 Lines 66-67, Col. 6 Lines 1-16), with a standardized interface (Figure 3 Items 102, 104, Figure 5 Item 150), an instance for the administration of the protocol stack that contains a protocol layer (Figure 6 Item 162).
- 3.2 As regards dependent Claims 2 and 3 the *Pearson* reference discloses a plurality of description files (Figure 1 Items 42 and 44).
- 3.3 As regards dependent Claim 7 the *Pearson* reference discloses multiple layers of protocol stacks (Figure 3).
- 3.4 As regards dependent Claims 5, 8-12 the *Pearson* reference discloses a SAP interface (Figure 5 Item 150).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Independent Claims 16 and 17 are allowed.
- 4.1 Dependent Claims 4, 6, 13, 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,842 Page 5

Art Unit: 2123

Conclusion

5. Claims 16 and 17 are allowed. Claims 4, 6, 13, 14 and 15 are objected to. Independent Claim 1 and dependent Claims 2, 3, 5 and 7-12 are rejected.

5.1 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 5.2 Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.
- 5.3 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwin M Craig whose telephone number is 703 305-7150. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 703 305-9704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2123

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMC